1	FRANCIS O. SCARPULLA (41059) CRAIG C. CORBITT (83251)		
2	MATTHEW R. SCHULTZ (220641) QIANWEI FU (242669)		
3	ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL MASON & G 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400	ETTE, LLP	
4	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415-639-0700		
5	Facsimile: 415-693-0770 ccorbitt@zelle.com		
6	JOSEPH M. ALIOTO (42680)		
7	THERESA D. MOORE (99978) ANGELINA ALIOTO-GRACE (206899)		
8	JOSEPH M. ALIOTO, JR. (215554) ALIOTO LAW FIRM		
9	555 California Street, 31st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415-434-8900		
10	Facsimile: 415-434-9200 jaliotojr@aliotolaw.com		
11	JACK W. LEE, Esq. [SBN 71626]		
12	BRAD YAMAUCHI, Esq. [SBN 73245] B. MARK FONG, Esq. [SBN 99672]		
13	HUGO A. ZIA, Esq. [SBN 122599] MINAMI TAMAKI LLP		
14	360 Post Street, 8th Floor San Francisco, California 94108-4903		
15	Telephone: (415) 788-9000 Facsimile: (415) 398-3887		
16 17	jlee@MinamiTamaki.com		
18	Interim Co-Lead and Liaison Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs		
19			
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
21	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
22	SAN FRANC	ISCO DIVISION	
23	IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)) Case No. C-06-07588 SI	
24	ANTITRUST LITIGATION)	
25) Master File No. C07-1827 SI	
26	This Document Relates to:) MDL No. 1827	
27	CANDACE ROWLETTE, a Florida resident;) REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL _) JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE	
28	REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUD	CIAL ASSISTANCE (LETTER ROGATORY)	

1	and MICHAEL FRANCIS AYERS, a) (LETTER ROGATORY)
2	Massachusetts resident, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,)))
3	Plaintiffs,	
4	V.))
5	LG PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.; LG PHILIPS LCD AMERICA, INC.; LG ELECTRONICS)))
6	IND.,; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.; NEC CORPORATION; NEC	
7	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.; NEC LCD))
8	TECHNOLOGIES LTD.; HITACHI LTD.; HITACHI DISPLAYS, LTD.; HITACHI ELECTRONICS DEVICES (USA), INC.;)))
9	HITACHI AMERICA LTD.; IDT INTERNATIONAL LTD.;CMO JAPAN CO.,	
10	LTD; INTERNATIONAL DISPLAY	
11	TECHNOLOGY USA INC.; IPS ALPHA TECHNOLOGY, LTD.; ROYAL PHILIPS))
12	ELECTRONICS NV; SANYO EPSON IMAGING DEVICES CORPORATION;))
13	SANYO ELECTRIC COL, LTD.; SEIKO EPSON CORPORATION; SHARP	
	CORPORATION; SHARP ELECTRONICS	,)
14	CORPORATION; SONY CORPORATION; TOSHIBA CORPORATION; TOSHIBA))
15	MATSUSHITA DISPLAY TECHNOLOGY CO. LTD.; MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC))
16	CORPORATION; AU OPTRONICS CORP.; AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION	
17	AMERICA; CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS	
18	CORPORATION; CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS USA, INC.;))
19	CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD.; HANNSTAR DISPLAY CORPORATION,))
20	,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23	The United States District Court Northern	n District of California presents its compliments to
24		•
25	the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan, an	_
	service of process to be used in a civil proceeding	g before this Court in the above captioned matter.
26		
27		2
28		2 CIAL ASSISTANCE (LETTER ROGATORY)

I. <u>REQUEST</u>

This Court requests the assistance described herein as necessary in the interests of justice. The assistance requested is that the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan effect Service of Process of: Amended Summons in a Civil Case; Amended Class Action Complaint; Pretrial Order No. 5: Case Management; Judge Illston's Standing Order; Standing Order Re: Cases Designated for Electronic Filing; Case Management Conference Order; *Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California* handbook; Notice Of Availability Of Magistrate Judge To Exercise Jurisdiction; the United States District Court for the Northern District of California's ECF Registration Information Handout; and Certified Translations of these documents on the belownamed corporation:

AU Optronics Corporation

No. 1, Li-Hsin Rd. 2, Hsinchu Science Park

Hsinchu 30078, Taiwan;

The Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan is requested to serve the documents listed above by personal service into the hands of a director, managing agent or other person authorized to accept service at the above listed address or in any manner prescribed for the service of such documents consistent with the law of Taiwan.

II. FACTS OF THE CASE

The Complaint in this action was been filed under Section 16 of the Clayton Act, (15 U.S.C. § 26), to obtain injunctive relief for violations of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, (15 U.S.C. § 1), and to recover damages and/or restitution under various state antitrust and consumer protection laws, including to recover the costs of suit, and reasonable attorneys' fees. This Court has jurisdiction over the federal claims under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337. The Court has jurisdiction over the state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

There are two general categories of cases in these related actions: (1) those brought under federal law on behalf of direct purchasers; and (2) those brought generally under state law on behalf of indirect purchasers, for which there are over seventy complaints covering twenty-three

1 different states. All plaintiffs allege that they purchased Thin Film Transistor Liquid Crystal 2 Display products ("TFT-LCD Products") either directly or indirectly from defendants or from their 3 alleged co-conspirators. Plaintiffs claim damages caused by the defendants' conspiracy to fix 4 prices on TFT-LCD Products sold in the United States and elsewhere. The plaintiffs allege the 5 conspiracy began in November 1, 1998 and continued through at least December 31, 2006 (the "Class Period"). 6 7 In or about December of 2006, the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of 8 Justice ("DOJ") sent out subpoenas to various companies in connection with an investigation of 9 cartel activity in the TFT-LCD industry. Several of these companies being investigated have 10 already pled guilty to price-fixing in the DRAM industry and have paid substantial fines to the 11 DOJ for those unlawful activities. 12 III. **RECIPROCITY** 13 The United States District Court for the Northern District of California would be willing to 14 provide similar assistance to the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan. 15 IV. **REIMBURSEMENT FOR COSTS** 16 17 18 19

Lead and Liaison Counsel for the Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs have represented that they are willing to reimburse the appropriate Judicial Authority of Taiwan for costs incurred in executing this Letter Rogatory in an amount not to exceed One Thousand Five Hundred dollars (\$1500.00). If the costs for executing this Letter Rogatory will exceed US \$1500, please contact Lead and Liaison Counsel for the Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs before exceeding this amount.

Their contact information is as follows:

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Francis O. Scarpulla Zelle Hofmann Voebel Mason & Gette LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104. Phone: (415) 693-0700; Fax: (415) 693-0770. Jack W. Lee Minami Tamaki LLP 360 Post Street, 8th Fl.

Cascals/e07:007-0010827827-SDdccoon.eme633249 Filled 1100/274/20007Pagea5gen55of 5

1	San Francisco, CA 94108 Phone: (415) 788-9000; Fax: (415) 398-3887		
2	In acknowledgment of the additional time which is needed to prepare and file a responsive		
3	pleading to the attached documents, the time period is extended to 45 days after service.		
4	The Court extends to the Appropriate Judicial Authorities of Taiwan assurances of its		
5	highest consideration.		
6			
7	DATED: By:		
8	Hon. Susan Illston United States District Judge		
9	450 Golden Gate Ave, San Francisco, CA 94102		
10	(415) 522-2028 (Tracy Sutton, Calendar Clerk) (415) 22-2068 (Yumiko Saito, Docket Clerk)		
11			
12			
13	#3170435v1		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	5 REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE (LETTER ROGATORY)		